

OBJECTION TO RECOVERY OF COSTS

First I want to address the Motion To Dismiss Decision in a page. Though not in agreement with the Decision, I found the writing to be clear and understandable, even didactic for a Pro Se Plaintiff. I did not have a hard time with the issues on the mind of the Judge and was easily able to access whether I should proceed with an Appeal. The following is briefly what I respectfully chiefly disagree about.

How is someone (Wendy Higgins Chambers) **knowing** that her actions, if not solely causing but at least contributing to News 12 taking down as Judge Underhill wrote in James Lawrence v. Altice/News 12 to be “outright false” and “unfair” news coverage (aka at least relative Damages – hyped and out of context news), written within his proper decision to DENY News 12’s Motion to Dismiss, all the while this someone (Wendy Higgins Chambers) persisting with contributing to another outright false and unfair news report sharing an innocuous story of a single supermarket encounter devoid of complaints to anyone (police) let alone arrest or any harm or damage **9 days later** with the Westport News, with the once again alleged protected right to free speech for the “concern for community well being” **not malice?**

Meaning – the concern for “community wellbeing” had already been taken out of context and my reputation had been extremely tarnished.

The following is something I question with Judge Krumeich’s decision.

Judge Krumeich:

⁴ The police report stated defendant was one of three women who contacted the Westport Police to report similar incidents after they read or heard reports about plaintiff’s arrest.

of concern for the public safety of women in the community.⁵ Nor is there evidence defendant was aware of the alleged errors plaintiff would later claim in the reports of his various encounters with women and his criminal record.

Plaintiff has not shown probable cause that he will prevail on his slander

Yes the Police Report Additional Narrative 10 days after the March 5, 2018 arrest of one count of second degree breach of peace mentions 3 other callers to police **yet I believe Judge overlooks the fact that these calls are coming from the March 14, 2018 News 12 “outright false and unfair” coverage of me “arrested for stalking women” which Wendy Higgins Chambers was a part of.** Wendy Higgins Chambers was the first caller/visitor while the other 2 happened after her. **These calls are coming from the perspective that I was some kind of serial stalker. The context was completely blown out of proportion.** These calls did not come from the original and proper March 11, 2018 reporting that was in tune with the Official Police Press Release. There were no callers to police from March 11, 2018 news reports of the arrest for one count of second degree breach of peace only the March 14-15, 2018 News 12 reports insanity of me being arrested for stalking women to which was contributed by Wendy Higgins Chambers.

I also believe defendant Wendy Higgins Chambers did know that their were errors or at least knew of uncertain aspects in the warrant and instead of allowing for these errors or uncertain aspects to be properly vetted by prosecutors, judges and potential jury, persisted in putting the errors in front of amateurs at the Westport News 9 days later who proceeded to botch my life even more with a similar type of news story as the “outright false” and “unfair” News 12 story of “stalking” but this time “harassing” women – both Course of Conduct behaviors that have nothing to do with anything in my past and thus now being contested in federal courts. Defendant Wendy Higgins Chambers might not have been quoted using these words but she certainly knew **her actions** were causing these outright false and unfair interpretations. I believe this is a very credible issue that a jury would be able to weigh with more facts.

So why did Wendy Higgins Chambers attack me? Really. Are we really believing it has anything to do with her pathetically simple and evasive Affidavit? In preparing and presenting a case one is forced to stick to the legal issues and basic facts around these issues and not offer theories of motive, for doing so can prematurely tank your case. But why does anyone attack another in every day life? Most often people are at odds with the other person in different ways that are clouded over with general nonsense that rationalizes an attack based on other motives.

One of the key pieces of introductory evidence is in the Westport News article where it mentions I gave her my business card with an “obscure website”. This obscure website was www.SignsOfTheTimes.info. I myself have over 15 related websites that offer Third Positionist politics that are not fully compatible with the current 2 party identity politic madness. Among my writings are proper criticisms about the current worst gender war and gender confusions ever known to humans let alone any species. Wendy Higgins Chambers read these numerous writings I have written months before her attack on me. And yes, given the benign accusations of me “being a creep” devoid of any prior complaint to anyone – police, store manager, or myself, it is not a stretch in the least to say Wendy Higgins Chambers most likely did not like my beliefs and like so many victim playing cowards of this time decided to use my vulnerable situation coupled with the persecutory social media mindsets to hurt me aka doxx me **for my First Amendment rights**, politics and beliefs. There is no doubt a dyed in the wool feminist from Wellesley College would not agree with my views on the gender war and gender confusions. I cannot fully show this now given not being afforded a proper trial but the real world facts are people do

things to others for a variety of reasons and her persistence with the media devoid of any evidence or serious story worthy of any kind of hard news with actual harms or damages (unlike my proven harms and damages) has obvious catty masks that conceal, leading most people I talk to to be receptive to other motivations. This is what the legal spin world would call some kind of “substantial truth”. But the facts are truth is dependent upon necessary details and can never be found in that pathetic article, affidavit, Motion to Dismiss Hearing, or insane warrant. The truth is at last found in a detailed story like a novel. This woman has some kind of obvious twisted hatred of me and it has nothing to do with an innocuous one-time crossing of paths at a market.

SQ

After careful consideration of appealing this decision, I must say I am tired and completely emotionally and financially spent. I am 3 years into this fiasco and considering I have two very important cases still going on with another potential lawsuit against the police next year I will move on with the hope Judge Krumeich processes everything I write here in my **Objection to Costs** for this is a **true story with undeniable references and sources.** I am anxious to get on in my life and **given the fact of never being found criminal about anything related to this case I believe I more than deserve proper detailed understandings and empowerments to move on and not be burdened with even more compounded obstacles and Damages and potential credit worries** that delays what easily should have been resolved in May 2018 after one court appearance with paying a noncriminal \$90 Infraction and moving on in life. YES I could have resolved the arrest in 3 months not 3 years!!! I could not do this because of what Wendy Higgins Chambers created – utter extreme media coverage and resulting butchery of my life attempting to address an alleged and now innocuous unproven one count of second degree breach of peace devoid of any harms or damages done much like any past alleged incident devoid of arrest or harm or damages perpetrated. **The facts are that more than one entity in this case can have some types of guilt and thus worthy of bearing some of the costs. The most important issue for me now is to restore my abilities to productively exist and that means concentrating my efforts on having the Westport News articles taken down much like the News 12 reports were taken down.**

If Wendy Higgins Chambers actions are not as relative as the words she deployed – “creep” and “preying on women”, then imagine if Wendy Higgins Chambers sued me for calling her a “bitch” within 2 media organizations. Imagine a similar scenario and the roles are reversed and I prevail in a Motion to Dismiss and then come at Wendy Higgins Chambers for \$16,700 in lawyer fees. Of course I would not hire teams of lawyers and hide and never be heard or seen. Is not some moral high ground essential to collect money especially when there NEVER is any kind of legal conviction ever in regards to the material she pushes around towns, the media, and the world and large? If what Wendy Higgins Chambers did to me and all the resulting undeniable Damages had some legal backing is there not an essential question as to whether there was a truthful moral backing in tune with her “concern for the community”. How can Wendy Higgins Chambers create the proven havoc she created and then hide behind a lawyer and have some moral high ground to collect \$16,700 in legal fees all the while never being heard or seen and then?

I Hereby OBJECT TO DEFENDANT RECOVERY OF “COSTS”.

I myself have been through far more costs and still have further costs in the foreseeable future because of the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers and ONLY Wendy Higgins Chambers. Everything I share here are undeniable facts. I am the only veritable victim with credible Damages. I am in many ways a victim of some kinds of hate crimes. I committed no crime EVER and had my life utterly destroyed by the actions and words by Wendy Higgins Chambers. I caused no harm whatsoever – ever – to anyone – especially Wendy Higgins Chambers. There are no victims, no other names/complainants, and there are no Damages done by me toward anyone - EVER.

I have one conviction on my record from 1995 and have a clean record in regards to anything Wendy Higgins Chambers made her business to pre-judge me on. No one person can be held responsible for instigating 2 persistent established unfair and outright false press coverage by federal judges other than Wendy Higgins Chambers. Yet in this insane culture men are allowed to be persistently attacked without a shred of proper evidence and have their name and life permanently damaged via this new shamelessly biased zeitgeist of women deploying the media for their special agendas devoid of proper police investigations or results of such investigations let alone proper trials or good judgments.

I do not know of any other culture that allows this nonsense to happen and increase more then decrease. This excessively liberal laws and courts of this country are actually encouraging people to be persecuted free of proper evidence before Due Process of Law and after exonerating Due Process of Law while signalling no discouragement of this behavior. MeToo is an American creation as well as all the resulting MeToo attitudes and behaviors like the taking of excessive liberties to which this case obviously has – a new type of overly free finger pointing behavior in a new type of zeitgeist. Wendy Higgins Chambers argues her persistent actions were “for the community” but was not the arrest she read about (devoid of any criminal conviction) already addressing this alleged community concern? It was not as if Wendy Higgins Chambers ever had anything happen to her that demanded the deployment of 2 media organizations – one being 9 days later after knowing I was already unjustly harmed by her actions thereby YES Your Honor KNOWING there were flaws in the corrupt police warrant.

In all honesty being Pro Se, I expected the Connecticut proceedings to be similar to federal proceedings and was surprised at submission of Exhibits at the Motion to Dismiss Hearing. My case against Altice/News 12 survived the Motion to Dismiss with Judge Underhill agreeing that the coverage was “unfair” and “outright false” thus was a worthy case to proceed to see Exhibits. My case against the Hearst/Westport News has a Case Management Plan and is in the process also to sharing Exhibits. I believe my case with Wendy Higgins Chambers also was not frivolous for she is a significant part of both my federal cases and my case against her embodies many important issues of the times I address in this objection.

UNDENIABLE SIGN OF THE TIME:

whoa. a seven-foot-tall bronze sculpture of "Medusa With The Head of Perseus" will be installed across the street from 100 Centre St., Manhattan's criminal courthouse this weekend, a commentary on the #MeToo movement mwthproject.com/oct-2020



My arrest and life had absolutely nothing to do with MeToo. The only one who made my arrest into an extreme public spectacle was Wendy Higgins Chambers. My arrest had to do with a single act of allegedly unintended “getting into personal space” and had nothing to do with stalking or harassing – both premeditated Course of Conduct acts to which I am now forced to address in my federal lawsuits caused by this wicked woman **ABUSING this so called new and biased Anti- SLAPP law.** **To this day I live with one conviction from 1995 and have a clean Connecticut criminal record especially in related to any accusation that was at issue within this case but now somehow am a pariah - and over what?!!! WHAT EVIDENCE!!! WHAT ARREST OR CONVICTION!!!! EVER!!!! WHERE AND WHO SHOULD BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REAL COSTS TO ONES LIFE?**

Currently because of the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers I am not employable. I CANNOT SHARE MY NAME. Her persistent actions and PREMEDITATED persecutory ways with the Westport News 9 DAYS AFTER HER FIRST ATTEMPT TO PRE-JUDGE ME has me profiled in a simple Google nanosecond unlike any time before the year 2000 and typical work I used to do like coach and referee and teach are forever gone under my current name. I would ask Judge Krumeich to read all the Damages listed in Complaint. These Damages are UNDENIABLY real. I had a lot better things in my life to do than write this true story of the destruction of my life presented to you in details worthy of a documentary of these sick and twisted American times.

As of now I am hoping to have the Westport News material removed (caused by Wendy Higgins Chambers) much like I had News 12 material removed (caused by Wendy Higgins Chambers). If I am successful with this Westport News lawsuit there is a chance I could have money in the future after settling multiple costs myself – the 3 lawsuits that would NEVER have happened if Wendy Higgins Chambers left well enough alone with what she obviously knew nothing about. But without the Westport News articles removed I (55 years old) will be financially poor for the next decade into my 70s. Without the Westport News article being taken down I will never have any kind of fair and empowering earning potential and I (innocent man) of being accused of ALLEGEDLY “getting into personal space” at a market devoid of any video evidence, sworn written statement, pressing of charges, witnesses, etc – DEVOID OF ANY CONVICTION OF ANYTHING AT ANY TIME EVER... will be forced to find work in areas that are not part of my training and background and as I show in Exhibits not in tune with my current health.

Anyone who does not understand this very basic fact of the zeitgeist is not reading enough news from multiple sources and multiple political and social perspectives. Without proper fair and balanced decisions, the persecution against me does not begin and end with Wendy Higgins Chambers. The persecution only gets worse as my Damages written about in Complaint detail. I AM NOW A NARRATIVE. THAT IS IT - AN UNPROVEN AND UN-PROBABLE NARRATIVE. The Damages are set in history and any kind of fair and balanced country would find someone responsible for the Damages that have happened to me – especially if such a nonsense supermarket “preying creep” persecution was never really heard of before this conflation with the present MeToo zeitgeist. I NEVER read of such a type of case – supermarket “getting into personal space” and the mere feelings from someone like Wendy Higgins Chambers allowed to dictate an EXTREME narrative before Due Process of Law and after exonerating past Due Processes of Law.

I have better things to do then make up fictional Damages and sue someone for I have never sued anyone in my past and have never been sued. I have 55 years of staying out of these ugly American conflicts. **However, the reality of today is that everyone immediately Googles everyone – potential employers, potential landlords, potential fitness studio clubs, potential friends, friends of friends, etc... and if anyone thinks a man with no criminal history related to the accusations let alone no prior arrests related to the accusations deserves these hit jobs then these Guilt by Accusation types should try to experience what I have had to**

endure for 3 years now and possibly forever in the future. What lies out there in cyberspace because of the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers are false and absolutely unproven and un-provable much like Wendy Higgins Chambers pathetically evasive and mendacious Affidavit. And once again – no other person felt the need to initiate any complaint via major media. No other person even pressed any charge in my distant past. No person ever was ever damaged or hurt or incurred any cost meeting me.

Currently I am broke and in debt.

I receive \$198.00 in unemployment every week from the Connecticut Department of Labor since April 2020 and will receive this \$198.00 every week for another 7 weeks until Dec.26, 2020.

Posting Date ↓	Description	Type ▼	Status ▼	Amount
Amount included in Available Balance				
10/06/2020	CTDOL UNEMP COMP DES:CWE ID:XXXXX6798000000 INDN:JAMES J...			198.00

I also get \$198 of SNAP food benefits for Connecticut Dept. of Social Services.
In addition I owe money to various people while attempting to live and defend myself during this now 3 year fiasco. I defend myself for a reason – I am broke from this experience!
I basically live week by week.

Once again, there is a reason I defend myself – I was left financially decimated by this persecution devoid of any crime or Damages done to anyone, and have no money for deploying lawyers to save my good name. I am alone to defend myself to which I do a great job given my lack of experience with these overly loose legal language and associated types I am forced to deal with.

The combination of the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers with News 12 and then Wendy Higgins Chambers with the Westport News combined with the now Covid-19/Corona Virus has made me quarantined within a quarantine – meaning I have no access to traditional work and even if I had I would be denied the work because of the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers.

Once again, without the removal of the Westport News article there is no future work other than manual labor to which my knee's Medial Meniscus tear and Arterio Venal Malformation within my spine has kept me from doing to which my doctors will attest to.
SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT A AND EXHIBIT B OF MY 2017-2020 DOCTOR VISITS VERIFYING MY PAST, CURRENT, AND FOREVER PHYSICAL CONDITION IN RELATION TO MY KNEE AND SPINE. For this reasons manual labor is out of the question and the kind of work I have done for most of my life involving warm friendly people/office contact and communications is essential. The current unproven and un-provable Westport News media nonsense available from a simple Google search of James

Lawrence keeps me from ascertaining any kind of people –oriented jobs and my physical condition keeps me from manual labor.

Prior to the January 2020 outbreak of Covid-19, I had been living in Europe since the March 2018 false arrest for one count of second degree breach of peace and resulting persecution orchestrated by Wendy Higgins Chambers. I moved to Europe because my life was in danger and had next to no possibility to live a normal life here in America. In May of 2018, I was offered to pay a \$90 non-criminal Infraction. Who would not take this offer and move on in life? However if I chose to settle the case this way I would lose the ability to sue the police and also weaken my cases to sue News 12 and the Westport News and Wendy Higgins Chambers. **I did not want to go on in life with the Westport News' hit job sitting out there to destroy any future efforts to work and participate.** I should have been able to settle the case maintaining my clean Connecticut record and not have this unproven and un-provable media nonsense of me "arrested for stalking women" and then "harassing women" destroying my life – all instigated by the self appointed judge Wendy Higgins Chambers. So for 2 years I was forced to fly back to this crazy gender warring country every 2 months to appear at empty court runs where prosecutors attempted to protect the police from a lawsuit for there was NO Discovery submitted, NO Sworn Written Statement, NO Witnesses, NOTHING to convict me of this one count of second degree breach of peace.

YET while I was living in Europe, I learned that I too was able to be Googled and profiled. What made life easier was that I was in a country with a different language and more mature cultures in general. Because I could no longer work in America until I could get the Westport News article taken down, I was forced to live off my savings. From June 2018 to January 2020 I lived in Europe and flew back to America to empty court runs within this disgustingly corrupt legal system (that is now being addressed via nationwide riots) where prosecutors would only offer me a noncriminal Infraction of \$90 in an effort to protect police from a lawsuit. **During this period I spent a lot of money to say the least. Every 2-3 months for 18 months I spent \$750 for round trip air fare – that is $6 \times \$750 = \$5,000$ just in air fares to which I never would have had to do if not for the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers. Couple this financial fact with the inability to work in my home country and forced to live off my savings and I prettier much spent \$2,500 every month x 3 years (36 months) now = \$90,000.**

YES. This self appointed Judge has cost me over \$100,000 to date and imagine if I do not prevail in my case against the Westport News to take down their unproven and un-provable material.

Since the February 2020 outbreak of Covid-19 and all the restrictions (like travel abroad), I have been on minimal unemployment of \$198 a week (for I had no work history since the false arrest and media fiasco) and in 2020 lived in a room within a suburban house owned by my parents that has since been sold October 2020. My family as a whole has decided to leave the area, once again showing Your Honor – the actions of this overly free woman Wendy Higgins Chambers affects more than me but also those around me. My family lived in this town for 55 years.

Judge Krumreich mentioned "*reasonable costs*" and quite frankly for such an obviously detailed

Complaint I submitted with easily provable Damages to be dismissed so fast without a jury of our peers makes me see this Defense asking for \$16,000 to file a Motion to Dismiss based on some new January 2018 Anti-SLAPP law to be excessive to say the least. Wendy Higgins Chambers obviously does not own ANY of the Damages that she has created and quite frankly should only feel shame let alone luck to be free of any responsibility. The fact that she comes at me for OBVIOUSLY UNREASONABLE COSTS should show Judge just how malicious of person she really is and always has been. SHAMELESS FOR SOMEONE ALLEGEDLY NOT KNOWING THE MISTAKES OF THE WARRANT AND PREVIOUS MEDIA OUTLET SHE APPROACHED.

I truly wish I could take this case to Appeals but am broke now. It would have been interesting to actually see her answer questions but of course she got to hide behind a lawyer. Is Wendy Higgins Chambers so blinded without remorse that she is unable to read my clean Connecticut criminal record and the facts of my past to which Judge Krumeich claims in his decision that Wendy Higgins Chambers had no clue about – the misinterpretations, mistakes, and hype from the newscasts and warrant? The facts are Wendy Higgins Chambers knew about the flaws and hype in the warrant and news reports and persisted 9 days later anyway. Her affidavit is nothing close to the truth. What kind of culture are we when we allow people to persistently lie? AND now she asks for money based on the freedom to lie and cause utter UNPROVEN and un-provable havoc – real damages! **I myself would feel nothing but absolute shame if I caused all this havoc for a completely innocent man.** I am NAUSEAOUS having to write out common sense.

SO - I have no money to pay and do not see myself having any money in the future because of what this woman/complainant Wendy Higgins Chambers and only Wendy Higgins Chambers did to me. My Damages are real and proven. Her story has no Damages. The police's narrative and corrupt aspects of the narrative has no Damages done to anyone ever!!!. Only in this obviously sick and biased culture would a man be persecuted for "*preying*" and being a "*creep*" and "*giving his business card at a market*" without any complaint to anyone – market manager, police, or even myself, no action from police, and causing no damages whatsoever and end up being attacked even more down the road for \$16,000. **WHEN DOES THIS MADNESS END!** I attempt to civilly (civil lawsuit) present a real case of the current zeitgeist so not be in angry and extreme and unhealthy modes but am left with more anger - another extreme begetting another extreme.

Lawyers tell me the work for a basic Motion to Dismiss is no more than \$5,000. I paid a lawyer \$3,500 for my one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace arrest and he endured 2 years of empty court runs and not trumped up email costs to which I will show. **This Wendy Higgins Chambers has no shame.** Yet again what we really have here is typical ugly American doubling down in psychopathic behavior by NEVER addressing any kinds of guilt, or even sharing her knowledge of others potential guilt she colluded with, and now crying again and playing some kind of victim after being shown undeniable documented evidence of my innocence. Wendy Higgins Chambers most certainly did something wrong and should be bearing the costs of her unproven and un-provable accusations, actions and results of her actions.

Sadly America is obviously insane and will continue to get more insane so long as laws allow this finger pointing culture to disrespect traditional – yes traditional Presumption of Innocence protocol and Due Processes of Law. Wendy Higgins Chambers knew what she was persistently pushing around town had flaws and justice would see that what she was recklessly pushing around media deserved to be properly reviewed by prosecutors and judges and properly sorted out like 99% of the arrests throughout time **and not prematurely paraded before the public resulting in forever Damages. WE LIVE IN A NEW TIME OF INTERNET SEARCH BEHAVIORS THAT DEMANDS PROPER DECISIONS BASED ON THIS INTERNET SEARCH ZEITGEIST.**

Cases like this with many grey areas deserve to be properly viewed detail by detail by detail?

Meaning – I filed this case for a good and justifiable reason. I HAVE DAMAGES AND COSTS. I CANNOT SELL MYSELF NOW FOR ALMOST NEARLY ANYTHING.

I obviously have Damages and have many costs related to this lawsuit as well. I would ask Judge Krumeich to see these numerous grey areas and process all what I have shared.

Experiences like this – persecution before Due Process of Law and after exonerating Due Processes of Law only leave myself – a veritable victim - severely mentally scarred forever. I feel very little love for life in general and sadly have little to no faith in justice. If I had to do this again I probably would have just changed my name and moved out of this sick and dying country instead of fighting for my American life at age 55. The fact is I cared for this culture and all my work and writing up to this persecution backs this up – I genuinely cared for helping and alleviating all the obviously growing angst and mentally imbalanced behaviors that is rife within this country from all political persuasions. I wanted to believe America stood for all people and wanted to address this obvious identity politic and gender warring problem and the sources of these problems that only seems to get worse year by year since the 1990s.

I am not the only person who believes and knows of this identity politic and gender war problem in proper details. The stories are happening every day. I guarantee 30-50 years from now learned people will look back at what was allowed to happen in this sickening identity politic and gender war and will be writing books about this biased identity politic and gender war. People already are. I guarantee 30-50 years from now learned people will not be interpreting this sickening biased gender war in the same way as this gender war is being interpreted today - much like any extreme injustices of the past – courts or laws often don't keep up with present reality.

I guarantee the following related American ills will also be seen in a different light in the future: Self Appointed Social Justice Warriors, Cancel Culture, Bans and Black Listings and Purges, Victim Playing, Virtue Signaling, Guilt by Accusation, Not Respecting Presumption of Innocence and Due Processes of Law, Conflations of Terms and Alleged Crimes, Doxxing People, Online Shaming, Social Media Finger Pointing Madness, Tattle Tale Mentalities, Identity Politics, De-platforming, “Just Believe the Woman” Absolutist Nonsense, Double Standards, Private Vigilantism, Fake News, Social Media Feelings Become Hard News, Threatening People That You Will Make Them Go “Viral”, Attacking and Getting People Fired

For Their Beliefs, Allowing Complainants to Hide and Have NO Accountability, Increased Invasions of Privacy, ETC...

Yes I believe a detailed understanding of everyday real news that was related to this case is in order for “substantial truth” issues.. **Many types of people want reforms with media and police and the courts.** I am a victim of extreme treatment. Extreme treatment is a key aspect of a slander case. My case was not frivolous.

The facts are -

JAMES LAWRENCE IS NOW WIPED OUT OF A FAIR EXISTENCE FOR THIS FIASCO IS THE MAIN THING I CAN BE GOOGLED ABOUT AND NOT HAVING ANY KIND OF COVERAGE IN THE MIDDLE SHOWING ALL THE GREY LET ALONE TRUTHFUL ASPECTS ONLY SETS ME UP FOR MORE FUTURE PERSECUTION AND DISAPPOINTMENT. **I will not live in a culture where I am forced to carry around my FBI criminal background check and lack of criminal record to combat the mentally abusive profiling I experience because of the actions of this disgusting person Wendy Higgins Chambers and YES - only Wendy Higgins Chambers. No one else did this to me.**

The police themselves did not go to the media and had an Official Police Press Release that would not have created this extreme mess I have to contend with now forever. Imagine if all my civil suits point to the police as the guilty party for it is firmly established I am not guilty of anything criminal. Who is then really to blame for the real costs? Am I just some disposable poor person? Am I some sacrificial lamb for rich and powerful interests? **Add yet another lawsuit – suing the police - to which never would have happened if Wendy Higgins Chambers was not so reckless and empowered in this sick and biased time.** But of course this future lawsuit against police, with potentially 8 federal judges pointing the finger at the police along with Connecticut Judge Krumeich – all saying it was you the police and your narrative that caused all these Damages. Yes the evidence shows that the arresting police officer and author of the warrant lied not only on alleged past number of complainants but also outrageously writing in over-generalizing language about “all women were afraid to give statements out of fear of retaliation” for not one past complaint has a incident report documenting this utter inflammatory lie. The facts are police could have arrested me devoid of a Sworn Written Statement because the March 2018 one count of second degree breach of peace arrest itself was also without a Sworn Written Statement.

Yes I am at battle with some corrupt forces looking to cover their asses. **But what will result in a potential lawsuit against police?** Connecticut Judges like Connecticut Prosecutors will protect the police. The Judges will say the police never arrested me before for anything and never deployed the harassing and stalking and preying language in the arrest warrant. The police will be let off the hook as they 99.99% of the time always are. It is impossible to sue the police. Without some video of them beating or shooting me I am lost in paperwork and cover ups that Judges will never fully understand. YES – I am a stone cold realist seasoned now after 3 years of dealing with this utter fiasco and assault on my peaceful life.

SO do I dare bring the fight for my good name against the police and show the various crooked

unproven and un-provable narratives to a Connecticut Judge or will this too be discarded resulting in more abusive treatment to a financially and mentally broke Pro Se Plaintiff asking for fair and balanced treatment? Why would I with another lawsuit (though righteous) bring even more financial hardship upon myself given the odds? **Imagine - 4 Civil Lawsuits – against News 12, Westport News, Wendy Higgins Chambers, and the Westport Police - all trying to save my good name and all 4 Civil Lawsuits attempting to get attorney fees from me because they are too cowardly to show any way they are responsible in their own ways for this undeniable extreme and unjust treatment. THIS IS HAPPENING.**

The facts are I had to sue Wendy Higgins Chambers and the media News 12 and Westport News before the police because of the statute of limitations is 2 years from time of slander, while suing the police is 2 years from time of final disposition of criminal case. So without suing Wendy Higgins Chambers and the media outlets News 12 and Westport News before suing the police and opting to only sue the police I would not have been able to get input from Judges from my civil cases against Wendy Higgins Chambers and the media outlets News 12 and Westport News to use against the police. I could have faced Connecticut Judges in a premature lawsuit against the police saying it was the medias fault and 4 years after the slander not be able to get compensation for the Damages I have experienced. WHAT A MESS – NO? NONE OF THIS WOULD HAVE HAPPENED IF WENDY HIGGINS CHAMBERS MINDED HER OWN BUSINESS AND PROPER LAWS AND PROTOCOLS EXISTED TO PROTECT LAW ABIDING MEN! **The truth or “substantial truth” is that Wendy Higgins Chambers, the media, and the police each have their own ways of extremely treating me and have various types of guilt or responsibility for destroying my good name for I was never reported on for anything prior to this living nightmare.**

So

NOW AND IN THE LAST 20 YEARS OF MY LIFE I am left trying to restart my life BROKE that has nothing to do with my previous studies and work history. My future will not be resolved anytime soon given I still await decisions from 2 federal lawsuits to which happened because of the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers. **I need a successful outcome with my suit against the Westport News or my name James Lawrence is finished.** And I emphasize name for all that exists is a crazy unproven and un-provable narrative with my clean record shining out from this very strange attack. But I am now in limbo and without home or means to survive in tune with my past training and skills. **ALL these UNJUST compounding Damages only make me more unemployable.** In reality what Wendy Higgins Chambers has done to my soul is criminal. These loose and biased laws allowing militant feminists to do this have endangered my life. If this increasingly Godless country is just that – Godless, then I can only get peace of mind knowing I will never be a part of it.

And once again -

I am not the only one who believes any of what I write about either. If the Judge wants hard cold truth evidence of my inability to pay (let alone moral stance not to pay) I re-direct him to the evidence shown – News 12 broadcasts taken down and wiped from existence showing already admitted harms done to me (federal Judge Underhill’s decision at Motion to Dismiss that they were “outright false and unfair”) then the persisted actions

9 days later by Wendy Higgins Chambers with the Westport News that has been alive for nearly 3 years reeking utter havoc on my life.

Read that Westport News article and ask yourself if you yourself would hire me as a paralegal, or a school would hire me as a teacher or coach or tutor, or a parent association would allow me to referee or coach sports programs, or any business/corporation would hire me in any department? It is not just the employer Googling the potential employee it is also the fellow employees Googling another employee resulting in possible virtual signalling attacks and mobbing and attempts to get me fired! ASK YOURSELF THESE QUESTIONS FOR THIS IS MY REALITY AND MY "COSTS".

REVIEW OF SOME OF DEFENSE'S SUBMITTED "COSTS"

You would think reciting a law about "freedom of expression of ones opinion" and right to share "matters in the community's interest" would be an easy case for a seasoned lawyer. I review these "costs" to write a basic Motion to Dismiss Defense reciting basic already known laws with little research needed and also I see these outrageous \$100 Email costs. Really? The case involved reviewing Wendy Higgins Chambers' two appearances within media organizations that amounted up to no more than a 1/10 of a page of quotes. Wendy Higgins Chambers Affidavit was barely 1 page. The hardest reads where most likely my Documents detailing all the Damages done to me. These Email costs are utterly disgusting.

For example here are a few Email costs to me Pro Se Plaintiff and the Email content she is charging for her "time":

4/8/2020	JZW	Email from pro se plaintiff re: looking to speak by phone. -	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
4/9/2020	JZW	Email to pro se P re: contact info.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
4/9/2020	JZW	Email from/to pro se plaintiff.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
4/10/2020	JZW	Emails from pro se plaintiff.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
4/10/2020	JZW	Email to pro se P.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
5/6/2020	JZW	Email from plaintiff.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
5/6/2020	JZW	Email to plaintiff, responding that discovery stayed.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
5/6/2020	JZW	Email to client, re: emails with plaintiff, update.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
5/6/2020	JZW	Email from pro se plaintiff.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00

* - These emails I have had with Defense were no more than questions with a few sentences responses. There is more work for someone giving directions to a stranger than charging \$37, \$55, or \$74 for a 3 sentence Email that takes no more than a minute to read, compose and send. If this is indicative of time pressing spin with other tasks that come with any job then Judge should be concerned with the "costs" submitted by Defense.

The following are the 4/8-4/9, 4/10, and 5/6 Emails shown above in Defense “costs”:

Here is example of very questionable rates and “costs”.

The 4/8/2020 - 4/9/2020 no more than 5 minute Email exchange to her secretary costing \$37 + \$37 + \$75 = \$149 !!!

From: James Larenaissance [<mailto:sancorgoman@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2020 6:48 PM
To: Boyce lassogna, Patricia A <Patricia.lassogna@m-ilaw.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]

Hello

This is James Lawrence.

I am the plaintiff in Lawrence v. Higgins being handled by attorney Wraag.

I tried emailing her paralegal but it directed me to you.

I have some necessary information to share with attorney Wraag.

I nod not have her phone contact in this crazy time we are in.

Could you provide me with her number and inform her of my desire to talk.

I normally live in Europe and that is why my case is electronically filed.

Yet I have new information to share with her asap.

I can be reached at 203 233 4335

email me here at sancorgoman@gmail.com

James Lawrence

On Thu, Apr 9, 2020 at 8:19 AM Wragg, Jessica Z <Jessica.Wragg@m-ilaw.com> wrote:

Hello Mr. Lawrence:

My paralegal and managing attorney forwarded me your below emails. My contact information with phone number (203-782-4109) is included below. It may make sense for us to schedule a time to speak. As of now, I have availability later this afternoon and tomorrow (Friday) after 12:30 PM EST.

Thanks,
Jessica

This 1-2 line email costs so much???

Attorney Wraag is making it seem as if each particular was worth \$185 and is curtailing her fees!!!

From: James Larenaissance [mailto:sancorgoman@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 09, 2020 10:36 AM

To: Wragg, Jessica Z <Jessica.Wragg@m-ilaw.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: Lawrence v. Chambers

Yes thanks you for reaching out Jessica.

I need to drive some documents into NYC early tomorrow and should be back in the area by 11 am.

I would like to talk to you on the phone and share a document with you before and if we meet.

Today I am busy with things yet if there is an ideal time to call you tonight let me know.

James

203 233-4335

Wragg, Jessica Z <Jessica.Wragg@m-ilaw.com>
to me ▾

Apr 9, 2020, 4:53 PM ☆ ↶ ⋮

Hello Mr. Lawrence:

I have a hearing tomorrow until about 12:30 PM. You can try reaching me by phone after that time. If there is a document you would like me to review in connection with the call, feel free to email the document to me at this email address.

Thanks,
Jessica

Once again, this 1-2 line email costs so much???

Attorney Wraag is making it seem as if each particular email was worth \$185 and is curtailing her fees!!!

I opted not to call her and meet.

**Here is example of very questionable rates and “costs”.
The 4/10/2020 email costing \$55 + \$55 = \$110 !!!**

On Fri, Apr 10, 2020 at 10:19 AM James Larenaissance <sancorgoman@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Jessica

So much is chaotic and delayed.

I was waiting for a approval of your desire for Extended Time and there was never anything officially granting your request.

The Filing system shows nothing.

My experience with the federal case tells me something should have been corresponded to me.

Perhaps you can clue in a Pro Se as to why this happened?

I am expecting some information this Monday that will aid my decision making.

Hearst Communications is expected to Reply to a Response by that time in my case against them.

I will take the weekend to review a few details of the purpose of my conversation with you.

So I will reach out after Monday definitely by mid-week.

James Lawrence
203 233 4335



Wragg, Jessica Z <Jessica.Wragg@m-ilaw.com>
to me ▾

Fri, Apr 10, 1:45 PM ☆ ↩ ⋮

Hello Mr. Lawrence:

We timely filed our motion without the extended deadline. The court did not rule on the extension request because state courts in Connecticut suspended processing on most matters as of mid-March.

Yes, the state courts have very limited operations right now. You can read the court updates and changes at: <https://jud.ct.gov/COVID19.htm>. In the March 12 and March 10 notices that the state court limited its processing of cases to only certain priority matters and has suspended many timing and filing deadlines.

You have my contact information if you decide you want to discuss this matter.

Thank you,
Jessica

Once again, this 1-2 line email costs so much???
Attorney Wraag is making it seem as if each particular email was worth \$185 and is curtailing her fees!!!

**Here is another example of very questionable rates and “costs”.
The 5/6/2020 Email exchange costing \$37 +\$74 + \$37 + \$37 = \$185!!!**

From: James Larenaissance [mailto:sancorgoman@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2020 11:30 AM
To: Wragg, Jessica Z <Jessica.Wragg@m-ilaw.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: Lawrence v. Chambers

Hello Jessica Wrang

This is James Lawrence.
So I recently filed a Federal Rule 26(f) with my case against Hearst Communications.
This was compelled by Judge.
I believe I have an obligation to do a similar filing in tune with the Connecticut laws.
I am trying to correspond with the Ct. courts but all are on lock down.
Will you be available to coordinated via phone in the not so distant future to agree on a
Discovery Plan?
Once again - I should have details soon for I am a Pro Se Plaintiff who needs some resources like a law library which is closed.
Anything you can add let me know so we can satisfy procedural matters asap.

James Lawrence
203 2334335



Wragg, Jessica Z <Jessica.Wragg@m-ilaw.com>
to me ▾

Wed, May 6, 7:54 PM ☆ ↩ ⋮

Hello Mr. Lawrence:

We do not have the same obligation in state court. The state courts in Connecticut do not have that same Rule 26, so there is no required Rule 26(f) conference or 26(f) joint report.

We are not engaging in discovery in this case until the Special Motion to Dismiss that I filed on my client's behalf is heard and ruled upon. As you may have read in our motion that the applicable statute, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-196a(d), requires the court to enter an order staying discovery upon the filing of that special motion to dismiss and then to conduct an expedited hearing on the motion. However, the courts were already effectively closed due to Covid-19 when we timely filed that special motion to dismiss on April 7, 2020.

The state courts here are engaged in very limited operations still. This special motion requires a hearing. Therefore, we are awaiting the court's scheduling such a hearing in this matter; currently, it does not appear Stamford court is having hearings in civil cases.

If you would like to discuss, let me know and we can schedule a call.

Thank you,
Jessica

**YES – this simple communication.
And notice how my concerns for bringing proper Discovery into the process but was
unexpectedly asked to have some material at the Motion to Dismiss Hearing even with the
Motion to Stay in place....???? The Process was not in tune with my experiences.**

THERE IS NO DOUBT WE HAVE TRUMPED UP COSTS HERE AND IF MERE EMAIL COSTS ARE SO EXPENSIVE IT IS EASILY CONCLUDED MOST OF ATTORNY "COSTS" ARE TRUMPED UP AND QUESTIONABLE.

For example:

Case Caption: Lawrence v. Chambers	Policy Number:
Producer:	Occurrence:
Settling Branch:	Claims Ref#:
Attorney: JZW	Feature:
Examiner: C	Date of Loss: 03/05/2018

SUMMARY BY PROFESSIONAL					
PBI	Patricia Boyce lassogna	Attorney	1.8	\$185.00	\$333.00
WJS	William J. Shea	Attorney	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
JZW	Jessica Z. Wragg	Attorney	8.2	\$185.00	\$1,517.00
Total House Counsel Professional			10.2		1,887.00
Total Retained Counsel - See Attached Invoice				Retained Counsel Expense	.00
Total Professional:			10.2		\$1,887.00
GRAND TOTAL for Matter ID 20203594 Lawrence v. Chambers:					\$1,887.00

*** - This is one day.**

3/5/2020	JZW	Researched anti-SLAPP - Cevetillo v Lang.pdf - on social media being a public forum for anti-slapp law	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
3/5/2020	JZW	Reviewed application of Anti-SLAPP statute to this case. Reports to media and police at issue.	1.2	\$185.00	\$222.00

*** - Is this Anti-SLAPP statute not the gist of the Defense. I am getting gouged because of this new January 2018 law to which takes yes no more than an hour to read. And reading the articles Wendy Higgins Chambers fomented against me takes less than an ½ hour.**

3/24/2020	JZW	Drafted, outlined Special Motion to Dismiss	.9	\$185.00	\$166.50
3/26/2020	JZW	Revised investigative material from SIU.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
3/26/2020	JZW	Special Motion to Dismiss - drafted fact section.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
3/27/2020	JZW	Research, pulled MTD, MSJ in Lawrence v. Altice, with exhibits, reviewed and analyzed for use in our Special Motion.	.9	\$185.00	\$166.50
3/27/2020	JZW	Special Motion to Dismiss - wrote Background facts and legal argument.	2.2	\$185.00	\$407.00

*** - Lawrence v. Altice/news 12 was deemed not relevant by Judge Krumeich in Motion to Dismiss Hearing. Judge Krumeich reiterated this in his Motion to Dismiss Decision where he states Wendy Higgins Chambers did not deploy the stalking language. The Altice case is in Appeals still awaiting a Oral Argument.**

4/1/2020	JZW	Pulled docket report Lawrence v. Hearst case, reviewed docket, allegations. - Copy.pdf	.6	\$185.00	\$111.00
4/1/2020	JZW	Pulled out exhibits for Spec MTD - Arrest warrant March 2018, police report 2017, articles and transcripts.	.7	\$185.00	\$129.50
4/1/2020	JZW	Rewrote and revised Special Motion to Dismiss	3.8	\$185.00	\$703.00

*** - Lawrence v. Hearst/Westport News was deemed not relevant by Judge Krumeich in Motion to Dismiss Hearing. Judge Krumeich reiterated this in his Motion to Dismiss Decision where he states Wendy Higgins Chambers did not deploy the harassing language. The Hearst case has a Case Management Plan set up for potential trial.**

4/1/2020	JZW	Prepared Affidavit	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
----------	-----	--------------------	----	----------	---------

*** - I mean really? The less than a page 10 sentence utter piece of evasive nonsense Affidavit needed to be ...what? – Prepared?. It was already prepared. Copy and paste it. Unless you are coaching the removal or embellishments which could be laborious.**

4/2/2020	JZW	Reviewed police reports and exhibits, for revisions to special MTD. Added language about fear of retaliation.	.8	\$185.00	\$148.00
----------	-----	---	----	----------	----------

*** - Yes a 3 page 14 section with broken 1-2 sentence paragraphs arrest warrant that LIED about the number of past complaints (as shown in what Defense was able to field) and outrageously saying previous complaints were “*afraid to give statements out of fear of retaliation*”. FACT – there is not one police report (fully investigated resulting in no arrest case long closed since 2003, 2004, 2006 (I lived in San Francisco 2007-2017) that has any mentioning of a woman being afraid to give statements out of fear of retaliation. This is what I will be suing the police for – generalizing with absolutely no evidence that “all prior complainants did not give statements out of fear of retaliation”. Utter nonsense and no documents exist to back up this slimy sales pitch to a Judge by the crooked warrant submitted mysteriously 5 months after alleged incident responsible for fist arrest which itself had no Sworn Written Statement and no name complainant. YES Your Honor – these**

Yet once again, I would not be suing the police had Wendy Higgins Chambers not persistently make their gross mistakes public before Due Process of Law. None of my lawsuits would exist had it not been the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers. This woman should be bearing the costs of all the lawsuits I need to pursue to clear my name – 2 media companies and the police. Once again – truth that at least’s needs a novel of information to explain.

Date	Party	Description	Hours	Rate	Amount
4/3/2020	JZW	Revised and redlined Special Motion to Dismiss, per discussion with client.	1.4	\$185.00	\$259.00
4/3/2020	JZW	Chambers [REDACTED]	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
4/3/2020	JZW	Email to client, claims re: revised MTD, affidavit	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
4/3/2020	JZW	Email from client with revisions [REDACTED]	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
4/3/2020	JZW	Revised facts sections of Special Motion to Dismiss to match [REDACTED]	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00

4/6/2020	nad	Email sent to JWZ enclosing copy of Plaintiff's website	.1	\$100.00	\$10.00
4/6/2020	nad	Emails with JZW with new assignment to download plaintiff's website	.1	\$100.00	\$10.00
4/6/2020	nad	Reviewed Plaintiff's website to download into our file	.3	\$100.00	\$30.00
4/6/2020	nad	Email from [REDACTED] advising that he paid the invoice to get a copy of the police reports	.1	\$100.00	\$10.00
4/6/2020	nad	Emails with JZW regarding Motion to Dismiss	.2	\$100.00	\$20.00
4/6/2020	JZW	Email from/to client re: finalizing affidavit.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
4/6/2020	JZW	Revised SIU Social Media and Investigative report re: Plaintiff	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00

4/22/2020	JZW	R/R James Lawrence First Response	.6	\$185.00	\$111.00
4/22/2020	JZW	R/R Exhibit A- Criminal background check	.1	\$185.00	\$18.50

*** - THEY SHOULD BE THANKING AND PAYING ME TO LEARN THE TRUTH.**

SUMMARY BY PROFESSIONAL					
nad	Nadine Drmic	Paralegal	9.4	\$100.00	\$940.00
PBI	Patricia Boyce lassogna	Attorney	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
rak	Robin Katz	Paralegal	.7	\$100.00	\$70.00
JZW	Jessica Z. Wragg	Attorney	41.4	\$185.00	\$7,659.00
Total House Counsel Professional			51.9		8,743.00
Total Retained Counsel - See Attached Invoice				Retained Counsel Expense	.00
Total Professional:			51.9		\$8,743.00
GRAND TOTAL for Matter ID 20203594 Lawrence v. Chambers:					\$8,743.00

*** – SO The majority of these \$8,500 + costs are simple Emails much like Attorney had with me! Over \$8,500 initially to file a Motion to Dismiss and Respond WITHIN A 2 MONTH PERIOD while the simple issue and ultimate deciding factor - the new Anti-SLAPP law - fully known by Attorney.**

NEXT – more costs in the final months ...

06/04/2020	rak	Email to Pro Se with short calendar markings	.1	\$100.00	\$10.00
06/04/2020	rak	Email from Pro Se re short calendar	.1	\$100.00	\$10.00
06/05/2020	nad	Email sent via Accellion to M. Collier enclosing Plaintiff's Brief and Exhibits	.2	\$100.00	\$20.00
06/05/2020	nad	Email 2 of 2 forwarded to client, W. Chambers, regarding emails	.1	\$100.00	\$10.00
06/05/2020	nad	Email 1 of 2 forwarded to client, W. Chambers, regarding emails	.1	\$100.00	\$10.00
06/05/2020	nad	Emails with W. Chambers confirming that she was able to	.1	\$100.00	\$10.00
06/05/2020	JZW	Reviewed and analyzed - Exhibits to Brief	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
06/05/2020	JZW	Reviewed and analyzed- Brief	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
06/05/2020	JZW	Email to P re: no hearing monday.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
06/05/2020	JZW	Email to client, claims - update.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
06/05/2020	JZW	Email to/from P.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
06/08/2020	JZW	Email from/to client.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00

*** – EMAILS \$74 emails to “update client”.**

06/09/2020 JZW	Email to client, claims - update.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
06/10/2020 JZW	T/c to P Left voicemail	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
06/10/2020 JZW	Email from P.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
06/10/2020 JZW	Email from/to client.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
06/10/2020 JZW	Email from/to client, replying.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
06/10/2020 JZW	Email from P.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
06/11/2020 JZW	R/R Misc Order/Decision- 108.00 Filed: 05/22/2020 D MOTION FOR STAY Result: Granted 06/10/2020 HON EDWARD KRUMEICH	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
06/11/2020 JZW	Email to client, claims, update motion to stay granted.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
06/11/2020 JZW	Email from client, re: update.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
06/22/2020 JZW	Email from/to client.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
07/08/2020 JZW	Email from/to client. Checked docket.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
07/27/2020 JZW	EMail to client, claims - brief update.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
08/14/2020 JZW	8/28/2020 at 11:00AM- A remote video hearing on the record has been scheduled regarding Motion 102-	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
08/18/2020 JZW	Email to client, claims, update on hearing scheduled.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
08/19/2020 JZW	Email from/ then to client.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
08/19/2020 JZW	Email From: James Larenaissance re ok with sending email to court w/ contact infor for hearing	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
08/19/2020 JZW	Email to case flow with all contact info for 8/28/20 hearing	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
08/19/2020 JZW	Email to James Larenaissance re sending email to court w/ contact infor for hearing	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
08/23/2020 JZW	Email exchange with client.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
08/24/2020 JZW	T/c with Wendy.	.6	\$185.00	\$111.00
08/24/2020 JZW	Reviewed for client call, argument prep.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
08/25/2020 JZW	Email to client,	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
08/25/2020 JZW	Email from client.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
08/28/2020 nad	Re-Notice of Deposition of Plalintiff - here/remote (12/15/2020)	.5	\$100.00	\$50.00

*** – EMAILS talking about someone’s right to give an opinion in a 3 broken paragraph unproven and un-provable hit job article. Bravo!**

08/28/2020 JZW	Reviewed his website, updates to website.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
----------------	---	----	----------	---------

*** – YES AGAIN - my website www.JamesLawrenceWestportNOTGuilty.com deemed not relevant at Motion to Dismiss Hearing by Judge Krumeich which details the sordid attack on my life. This website is my “opinion” at the very least and to anyone who read and reviewed it in details – IT IS THE UNDENIABLE TRUTH! Men have the right to give**

their opinion and defend themselves also.

GRAND TOTAL for Matter ID 20203594 Lawrence v. Chambers: \$2,800.00

* – So add another \$2,800 of women corresponding via trumped up Email costs.

EMAILS !!!!

Keep in mind, I was later arrested by the corrupt Westport Police in Feb. 2019 for Email Harassment (as was shared in this case) based on 1 Email stating - “do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever and take this advice” where I asked my snowflake tenant and girl who fell in love with me not to harass my elderly parents while I was out of the country, parents who have since sold their apartments and very own home because of the fiasco Wendy Higgins Chambers created for my entire family.

AND yes Your Honor – the police are corrupt for that second desperate arrest that tarnished nearly all my efforts to clear my name from the first false arrest. This second false arrest is going nowhere but the trash. Please feel free to check in the future – 1 year of not seeing a court room because we are told of Covid 19 and meanwhile I have the Email arrest complainant on multiple acts of False Statements and Perjury, the arresting officer knowing of these False Statements and colluding with the scorned woman because his crooked department has been reading about my website www.JamesLawrenceWestportNOTGuilty.com about the first false arrest and my plans to sue the Westport Police. So yes the police jumped at the opportunity to keep me in the system to protect themselves. Meanwhile the officer committed so many mistakes to which I have evidence he fabricated the warrant from start to finish never contacting me for proper perspectives nor contacting my parents (the ones veritably being harassed) who were the subject of the lone controversial email. A cowardly warrant once again submitted months after the alleged Email act. I attempted a Franks Hearing Request to bring the crooked arresting officer to court to answer to his utter fictional warrant but District Attorney committed two Brady Rule violations to stonewall the attempt to simply bring the bastard to court. Norwalk clerks later tell me they never saw a Franks Hearing in the 10 years they worked there and for the reasons that lawyers told me – Prosecutors and Judges protect the Police – especially here in Connecticut. It is nearly impossible to find a lawyer to sue the police and J.R. Williams (my lawyer for the first false arrest) is the only one who entertains this.

So once again – in all reality truth that can be ascertained from only a novel worth of information that will be lost in competing narratives until the court has the courage to give me a trial (to which they never will much like the first false arrest) or finally dismiss the utter snowflake nonsense second false arrest that is yes blowback from the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers. I have very disturbing wisdom as to how someone becomes an immediate pariah with a target on his/her head. What this culture is allowing these less than average types to get away with in the name of crying and playing victim is the assured destruction of a strong proper nation’s future.

NEXT

EXPENSE SUMMARY
CHUBB CONNECTICUT HOUSE COUNSEL
(LAW OFFICE OF BRIAN J. FARRELL, JR.)

Grand Total: 1,399.50

AND WHO ARE THESE GUYS? Who and why are these guys involved in such a deemed simple case based on a new insanely biased law ? This is now at least 4+ lawyers vs. Pro Se James Lawrence while little Wendy Higgins Chambers hides from the truth and telling the truth.

09/01/2020	nad	Email sent via Accellion to W. Chambers enclosing videos sent by Plaintiff	.3	\$100.00	\$30.00
09/01/2020	JZW	NEWS12SLANDER-A.MOV - watched footage from P.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
09/01/2020	JZW	Email from/to client re: footage.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
09/02/2020	nad	Objection to Disclosure of Plaintiff Exhibits A-C	.8	\$100.00	\$80.00
09/03/2020	JZW	Revised -- Objection to Plaintiff Exhibits A-C	.6	\$185.00	\$111.00
09/03/2020	JZW	Email exchange.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
09/03/2020	JZW	Email to client, re: objection draft.	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
09/04/2020	nad	E-filed Objection to Plaintiff's Exhibits with the Court	.3	\$100.00	\$30.00
09/08/2020	nad	Email from Pro Se Plaintiff advising that he will be sending a response to our Objection	.1	\$100.00	\$10.00
09/08/2020	nad	Email response sent to Pro Se Plaintiff to confirm that he will be sending us a copy of his Response to our Objection	.1	\$100.00	\$10.00
09/10/2020	JZW	R/R Misc Order/Decision- 09/04/2020 113.00 OBJECTION TO MOTION- The Court will admit the exhibits into evidence limited to the portion taping defendant's actual comments. All other material is hearsay and not relevant and will not be considered by the Court.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00

*** - Emails fighting the presentation of an authentic video of Wendy Higgins Chambers with News 12 because I gasped when I heard the word "stalking" which was deemed "unfair" and "outright false" by Federal Judge Underhill in Altice/News 12's failed Motion to Dismiss. All these costs over a failed Objection for Judge Krumeich allowed for the material evidence.**

09/16/2020	JZW	Email from/to client re: status, Short calendar expl.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00
------------	-----	---	----	----------	---------

*** - Yet another \$74 email.**

09/22/2020	JZW	Reviewed and analyzed - Lawrence v. Chambers - Dismissal decision.	.5	\$185.00	\$92.50
09/22/2020	JZW	T/c to Wendy-	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
09/22/2020	JZW	Email to client, claims - Dismissal.	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
09/22/2020	JZW	Email exchange with client.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00



09/22/2020					
JZW					
Email from/to client re:					
.2					
\$185.00					
\$37.00					

09/22/2020	JZW	Email from/to client re:	.2	\$185.00	\$37.00
09/22/2020	JZW	Email from/to client, r	.3	\$185.00	\$55.50
09/22/2020	JZW	Email from claims.	.1	\$185.00	\$18.50
09/22/2020	PBI	Supervisory Review of file and court decision to dismiss case.	.4	\$185.00	\$74.00

*** - MORE AND MORE TRUMPED UP EMAILS EVEN AFTER MOTION TO DISMISS DECISION IN THEIR FAVOR. WOW – such labor to celebrate being lucky for truth is certainly not on your side.**

NEXT ...

Law Offices
WOFSEY, ROSEN, KWESKIN & KURIANSKY, LLP
600 Summer Street
Stamford, CT 06901-1490
Telephone: (203) 327-2300

			Hours	
02/18/2020	SMF	Review complaint.	0.40	
02/19/2020	SMF	Prepare for and participate in office conference with Wendy; review documents.	1.70	
02/20/2020	SMF	Review Wendy's email and documents; review and respond to Wendy's emails.	0.40	
02/21/2020	SMF	Prepare for and participate in telephone conference with Wendy.	0.30	
02/24/2020	SMF	Review and respond to Wendy's email.	0.30	
02/27/2020	SMF	Review Wendy's documents; prepare and participate in telephone conference with Jess Wragg and Wendy; telephone conference with Wendy.	1.40	
		TOTAL FEES	4.50	2,137.50
Recapitulation				
<u>Timekeeper</u>		<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Total</u>
Steven Frederick		4.50	\$475.00	\$2,137.50
TOTAL CURRENT CHARGES				2,137.50
TOTAL AMOUNT DUE				<u>\$2,137.50</u>

*** - AND WHO ARE THESE GUYS? Even more stuffed suits shamelessly spinning for a new law that allows women to attack men via the most powerful ways – media and internet search - devoid of any proper evidence and being held accountable for the Damages. BRAVO! ENCORE! This is now at least 5+ lawyers vs. Pro Se James Lawrence while little Wendy Higgins Chambers hides from the truth and telling the truth. American clown world. Utterly disgusting.**

A CONCLUSION:

LOS ANGELES · Published 2 hours ago

Women's marches storm NY, DC and LA protesting Trump, ACB despite social distancing mandates

In New York, the marches came shortly after Cuomo banned mass gatherings in seven zip codes

Womens marches protesting Judge Amy Coney Barret nomination to Supreme Court.

THE HYPOCRISY!!!

I am neither Republican nor Democratic but rather a mix of the two with other socio-political ideas (most based in my religious faith) that are protected under the First Amendment.

But yet again

“substantial truth” –

So many self appointed Social Justice Warriors today who should be arrested for **extremely** “violating personal space”.

James Lawrence
October 30, 2020

CONCLUSION CONTINUED

Filed October 31, 2020

PROPOSED GROUNDS FOR ANY PAYMENT OF LEGAL FEES TO DEFENDENT

If (as Judge Krumeich opines) Wendy Higgins Chambers was not aware of the mistakes of the warrant, interpretations of the warrant like my real criminal background, and deployment of the “stalking” language in News 12’s established “outright false” and “unfair” reports “arrested for stalking several women” (removed from their website and not available on Internet search), as well as not knowing the similar mistakes of the warrant, interpretations of the warrant like my real criminal background, and the Westport News’ deployment of the words “harass” like how they write “harassed women for years”, **then Wendy Higgins Chambers should be making some kind of effort to disassociate herself from the Westport News article.** This is doable in the Internet age for articles are always updated and edited for I have an example from reporting upon my Altice/News 12 case where a journalist parroted the Westport News interpretation of the warrant but rewrote the article weeks later when getting the truth about my criminal background check.

What I am saying is that **IF Wendy Higgins Chambers knew nothing of the mistakes mentioned above and mentioned by Judge Krumeich in his Motion to Dismiss Decision, then any rational decent person would want to disassociate themselves from an article that claims I “harassed” women like herself.** Wendy Higgins Chambers is the only woman and name in the Westport News article and it would be proper for her to make efforts to reach out to Hearst/Westport News and former Westport News hack journalist Sophie Cecilia Vaughan (22 year old reporter) now wandering somewhere else after mysteriously leaving Hearst in 2019. **IF Wendy Higgins Chambers makes no effort to at least have her quotes and name removed from that hideous unproven and un-provable Westport News article then it can easily be deduced that Wendy Higgins Chambers believes I harassed her (perhaps even stalked her) which are both Course of Conduct acts – 2 or more unwanted violations after proper warnings. Without any proven effort via a letter to Hearst or journalist Sophie Cecilia Vaughan asking for herself (Wendy Higgins Chambers) to not be associated with “harass” language and all the misinterpretations of the warrant like my criminal background, Wendy Higgins Chambers is basically saying she stands by the wording of the article that Judge Krumeich says she was not deploying – harass.**

Judge Krumeich:

³The media’s use of the words “harassing” and “stalking” in articles or broadcasts in which defendant was interviewed, terms not used by defendant, cannot be attributed to her, although “preying” reasonably may be understood to refer to this sort of criminal activity.

The facts are the warrant said “*follow and get into personal space*” which is careful wording from the arresting officer and he never deploys the “*stalking*” or “*harassing*” language for a reason – it never happened! Officer used these careful words “*follow and get into personal space*” words because he knows the definitions of **stalk and harass** – legally. **Both stalk and harass are actual Course of Conduct crimes with various statutes.** Both **stalk and harass** are repeated acts in legal and everyday dictionaries. Author of warrant/officer carefully chose words

that describe a one time unintended “*getting into personal space*” – one count of second degree breach of peace NOT stalking or harassment. I was not arrested for stalking or harassment both Connecticut crimes. Any mentioned alleged prior complaints in the warrant are forever proven to have no arrests tied to them, no harms or damages, and no conviction and thus cannot be described as anything but a complaint. There are no arrests tied to any prior complaint after investigation, no sworn written statement, no witness, no discovery, no videos, nothing – no police action and no names. Wendy Higgins Chambers is the only name to date.

Once again, if Wendy Higgins Chambers feels she is entitled to legal fees then I believe some effort on her part should be proved via letters to responsible parties of the Westport News hit job to remove her from the reporting she apparently now knows to be false. **If Wendy Higgins Chambers does not write these formal letters then she obviously believes I harassed and was all the while a part of this deployment of the harassment language much like she was most likely part of the deployment of the stalking language with News 12 thereby contradicting some of the logic written by Judge Krumeich in his Motion to Dismiss Decision where he believes she was not part of the stalk and harass hit jobs.**

I would ask that any conditions of any future legal fee payment be tied to her efforts to disassociate herself from what herself and Judge claim she was not a part of - the formulation and use and belief of the stalking and harassing language. This is doable in the electronic age and is done all the time.


Here is an old article written about my case against News 12 in January 10, 2020.

The journalist originally parroted the Westport News' interpretation of the warrant USING THE WORDS HARASS.

Judge dismisses Westport man's slander and libel lawsuit against News 12 Connecticut

By Phil Hall - January 10, 2020

A federal court dismissed a defamation case against News 12 Connecticut filed by a Westport man who claimed the station slandered and libeled him by referring to him as a stalker following his arrest on a second-degree breach of peace charge.



*James Lawrence in his March 2018 arrest photograph.
Courtesy Westport Police
Department*

James Lawrence was charged by Westport police with **harassing** a woman at the Fresh Market grocery store on Nov. 25, 2017, after she filed a complaint that he followed her through the store and into the parking lot. Police issued an arrest warrant for Lawrence, who turned himself in on March 5, 2018. He was released after posting a \$5,000 court set bond.

In his lawsuit against the station, Lawrence described News 12 journalist Mark Sudol as a "slimy reporter" who arrived at his residence on March 14, 2018. Lawrence claimed that he was "shocked that such a low-level charge would be news for the likes of News 12 Connecticut, given all the far more worse alleged crimes that happen every day," but agreed to a 45-minute conversation with Sudol.

Lawrence alleged the subsequent coverage was full of slanderous errors regarding the nature of his arrest and his previous arrest record in California and he argued that using the words "stalker" and "stalking" in relation to his Westport arrest was libelous because he was not charged with stalking.

However, U.S. District Chief Judge Stefan Underhill of the District of Connecticut rejected Lawrence's lawsuit that claimed he was a victim of slander and libel by the news station. In a 23-page ruling, Underhill stated the News 12 coverage was "substantially true and not defamatory."

The television station argued in court papers that it was "substantially true" to characterize Lawrence's behavior as stalking. Lawrence, on the other hand, countered that the media outlet's description of him was defamatory because "they were false and met all other requirements of defamation." Underhill added that Lawrence could not claim damages for emotional distress, citing the news coverage as constitutionally protected conduct.

1/29/2020

Judge dismisses Westport man's slander and libel lawsuit against News 12 Connecticut - Westfair Communications

Westport Police said that since 2002, Lawrence had been the subject of 10 complaints by women who felt harassed by him, but none of the women agreed to pursue criminal charges. Lawrence was also arrested in Westport in February 2019 on charges of harassing a woman by email.

Phil Hall

<http://westfaironline.com/>

Phil Hall is a former United Nations-based reporter for Fairchild Broadcast News, the author of nine books (including the upcoming "Moby Dick: The Radio Play"), the host of the SoundCloud podcast "The Online Movie Show," co-host of the WAPJ-FM talk show "Nutmeg Chatter" and a writer with credits in The New York Times, New York Daily News, Hartford Courant, Wired, The Hill's Congress Blog and Profit Confidential.

Here is how journalist cleaned up his article AFTER PROPER RESEARCH AND REFERENCES. The last paragraph of the unproven and un-provable number of complaints is omitted and he OMITTED THE HARASSMENT LANGUAGE.

<https://westfaironline.com/120198/judge-dismisses-westport-mans-slander-and-libel-lawsuit-against-news-12-connecticut/>

Judge dismisses Westport man's slander and libel lawsuit against News 12 Connecticut

By Phil Hall - January 10, 2020

SHARE



A federal court dismissed a defamation case against News 12 Connecticut filed by a Westport man who claimed the station slandered and libeled him by referring to him as a stalker following his arrest on a second-degree breach of peace charge.



James Lawrence in his March 2018 arrest photograph.
Courtesy Westport Police Department

James Lawrence was charged by Westport police with one count of second degree breach of peace for following a woman through the Fresh Market grocery store and into the store's parking lot on Nov. 25, 2017, after she filed a complaint that he followed her through the store and into the parking lot. Police issued an arrest warrant for Lawrence, who turned himself in on March 5, 2018. He was released after posting a \$5,000 court set bond.

In his lawsuit against the station, Lawrence described News 12 journalist Mark Sudol as a "slimy reporter" who arrived at his residence on March 14, 2018. Lawrence claimed that he was "shocked that such a low-level charge would be news for the likes of News 12 Connecticut, given all the far more worse alleged crimes that happen every day," but agreed to a 45-minute conversation with Sudol.

no
use
harass

Lawrence alleged the subsequent coverage was full of slanderous errors regarding the nature of his arrest and his previous arrest record in California and he argued that using the words "stalker" and "stalking" in relation to his Westport arrest was libelous because he was not charged with stalking.

However, U.S. District Chief Judge Stefan Underhill of the District of Connecticut rejected Lawrence's lawsuit that claimed he was a victim of slander and libel by the news station. In a 23-page ruling, Underhill stated the News 12 coverage was "substantially true and not defamatory."

The television station argued in court papers that it was "substantially true" to characterize Lawrence's behavior as stalking. Lawrence, on the other hand, countered that the media outlet's description of him was defamatory because "they were false and met all other requirements of defamation." Underhill added that Lawrence could not claim damages for emotional distress, citing the news coverage as constitutionally protected conduct.



gets rid of last paragraph
no harass



TAGS JAMES LAWRENCE LIBEL NEWS 12 CONNECTICUT SLANDER U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTPORT
WESTPORT POLICE DEPARTMENT

YES the HARASS language used in the second paragraph of his original version was removed so to not be caught up in a future lawsuit. He also removes the harass language in the last paragraph and the unproven number of past complaints.

What does Wendy Higgins Chambers do to not be associated with the Westport News's numerous forms of butchery - # of women, use of the word harass, interpretation of my criminal past, etc...

I would not want to be part of an article that has this many errors. I believe she should prove she is not part of this interpretation and overall tone of the article by submitting requests to be removed from the online Westport News articles if she expects any kind of compensation for legal fees of my non-frivolous lawsuit.

James Lawrence
October 31, 2020